FILED BY FAX

	FILED B
Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) Kristen M. Agnew (State Bar No. 247656) Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297) DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C. 515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile William L. Marder, Esq. (State Bar No. 1701 Polaris Law Group LLP 501 San Benito Street, Suite 200 Hollister, CA 95023	FILED ENDORSED 2019 AUG 14 AM IL SUPERIOR COURT OF CALL COUNTY OF SACRAME
Tel: (831) 531-4214 Fax: (831) 634-0333	
Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Class, and Aggrie	ved Employees
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
FOR THE COUN	TY OF SACRAMENTO
MARIA MORONES, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 34-2018-00245481-CU-OE-GDS
Plaintiffs,	(Assigned to the Honorable David I. Brown, Dept. 53)
vs. BIMBO BAKERIES USA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS ROSENTHAL IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Date: September 13, 2019
Defendants.	Time:2:00 P.M.Dept.: 5 3Complaint Filed:November 28, 2018FAC Filed:June 28, 2019
	I SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY ASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS ROSENTHAL

I, Nicholas Rosenthal, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice before all Courts in the State of California, and am with the law firm Diversity Law Group, P.C., counsel of record for Plaintiff Maria Morones ("Plaintiff"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and if called to testify I could and would do so competently.

CASE SUMMARY

2. On September 7, 2018, Plaintiff sent a letter to California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency (the "LWDA Letter") alleging that Defendant had violated Labor Code section 226(a).

3. On October 9, 2018, Plaintiff filed a class action complaint ("*Morones I*") against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Sacramento, alleging violation of California Labor Code section 226(a). Defendant removed *Morones I* to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California on or about November 19, 2018. *Morones I* has been ordered stayed pending settlement approval proceedings in this Court.

4. On November 28, 2018, Plaintiff filed a representative action complaint
("*Morones II*") against Defendant in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Sacramento, alleging violation of California Labor Code section 2698, *et seq.*, the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"). The PAGA claim was predicated on Defendant's violation of Labor Code section 226(a).

5. On June 27, 2019, pursuant to the terms of the settlement, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint with this Court that contains both the class claims from *Morones I* as well as the PAGA claim from *Morones II*. The operative First Amended Complaint alleges that whenever shift premium wages were paid, the corresponding wage statements did not identify the applicable rate of pay and accurate total hours worked. Specifically, whenever Defendant paid shift premium wages to Plaintiff and other employees, the wage statements identified these wages in categories such as "First Shift," "First Shift OT," and "Sunday Prem." When the amount of shift premium hours worked was added up, they did not equal the total number of

28

1

2

3

DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS ROSENTHAL IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT hours worked. Moreover, Plaintiff contends that the pay rate for "Sunday Prem." wages was not listed on the wage statements.

THE MEDIATION

6. After Plaintiff filed *Morones II*, the parties agreed to attempt to resolve this matter at private mediation. Defendant also agreed to provide sufficient class data from which Plaintiff's counsel could conduct a damage analysis.

7. In advance of the mediation, Defendant provided Plaintiff with informal discovery that allowed my office to perform a detailed damage analysis. Defendant provided an estimate of the number of non-exempt employees who received shift premium wages during the period of October 9, 2017 to October 7, 2018 ("Class Period"), as well as the number of wage statements, which included shift premium wages, issued to such employees during this time frame.

8. On February 21, 2019, the parties participated in private mediation with mediator Lisa Klerman. As a result of the mediation, and based upon further negotiations between the parties, a highly experienced and highly regarded mediator with extensive mediation experience in employment matters, in particular wage and hour class actions. During the mediation, counsel zealously advocated on behalf of their respective clients. Defense counsel presented compelling argument in support of its analysis of the potential exposure in the case, including its opinion that an employee only needed to do simple math to determine his or her total hours worked on wage statements that showed overtime hours worked; there was no injury because employees could promptly and easily determine from the wage statements alone the missing information; the violation was not "knowing and intentional" because Defendant was provided with sample wage statements by its third-party payroll provider that it asserts were legally compliant; and no employees were underpaid for any hours worked

9. After a full day mediation with Ms. Klerman, a class-wide resolution was reached in principle. The parties entered into a Memorandum of Understanding and thereafter worked out a long form agreement over the following weeks.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT TERMS

10. The terms of the class-wide settlement are set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class and Representative Action Settlement (the "Settlement" or "Stipulation"). A true and correct copy of the Stipulation is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

11. The proposed class consists of: "all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who were employed in California and who received shift premium wages at any time between October 9, 2017 through October 7, 2018." Based upon Defendant's records, there are approximately 1,322 individuals that comprise this class. *See* Stipulation at § 3.1. Class members do not need to do anything should they wish to receive their share of the settlement funds. If a Class Member does not wish to take part in this settlement and be bound by the release, the Class Member must submit an exclusion request. *See* Stipulation at § 7.2.5.

12. The Stipulation provides for the Gross Settlement Amount of One Million One Hundred Forty-Four Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$1,144,388). See Stip. §
6.1. The settlement payments to each Class Member will depend on the number of wage statements that included a payment of shift premium wages ("Qualified Wage Statements") during the Class Period. See Stip. § 6.6.2.

13. Additionally, the Stipulation allocated Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) of the gross settlement amount to PAGA penalties. *See* Stip. § 6.4. Pursuant to the PAGA, 75% *i.e.* the sum of Twenty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$22,500.00)—of the PAGA payment shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"). The remaining 25%— i.e. the sum of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500.00)— shall be distributed to Participating Class Members. *See* Stip. § 6.4.

14. As part of the Stipulation, Defendant does not object to Plaintiff's Counsel's request for attorney's fees up to 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount—*i.e.* the sum of Three Hundred Eight One Thousand and Four Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars (\$381,462), plus up to Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00) for reimbursement of litigation costs. *See* Stip. § 6.6.1. Also as part of the Stipulation, Defendant does not object to Plaintiff's request for an enhancement of Seven Thousand Five Dollars (\$7,500.00). *See* Stip. 6.2.

15. Finally, the Stipulation provides for the payment of the settlement administrator for the administration of this current settlement. The Parties have received a quote from Phoenix Settlement Administrators for a fee of approximately Thirteen Thousand Five Dollars (\$13,500.00) to administer the settlement. *See* Stip. § 6.5.1.

POTENTIAL STATUTORY AND CIVIL PENALTIES

16. I negotiated the settlement sum based on a clear understanding of the maximum exposure in this case. Based on the number of class members (1,322) and wage statements at issue (49,756), the maximum potential Labor Code section 226(e) penalties is approximately \$4,909,500. This exposure assumes that the Court would find that the Class suffered "injury" as a result of the alleged violations, and also that the violations were "knowing and intentional." As explained above, Defendant strongly disputes that Plaintiffs can establish either on a class-wide basis.

17. The maximum potential PAGA penalties are \$12,439,000. I calculated the PAGA penalties using the civil penalty set forth in Labor Code section 226.3. *See* Cal. Lab. Code § 226.3 (an employer who violates Labor Code section 226(a) "shall be subject to a civil penalty in the amount of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250) per employee per violation in an initial citation"). However, the trial court has the discretion to reduce PAGA civil penalties "if, based on the facts and circumstances of the particular case, to do otherwise would result in an award that is unjust, arbitrary and oppressive, or confiscatory." *See* Cal. Labor Code § 2699(e)(2). Thus, the \$12,439,000 figure does not factor in the likelihood that the Court would reduce the amount of penalties at trial.

18. Under the facts of this case, I appreciate the risk that Defendant could present a persuasive argument to reduce the amount of PAGA penalties at trial. For example, in *Fleming v. Covidien, Inc.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 154590 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2011), while the plaintiff ultimately prevailed in a PAGA trial predicated on a violation of Labor Code section 226(a), *i.e.*, inaccurate wage statements, the Court assessed only 17% of the maximum penalties— and this was after finding liability at trial. Similarly, Judge Carville of the Alameda Superior Court in the matter of *Parr v. Golden State Overnight*, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG12618103,

assessed PAGA penalties upon Defendant of approximately 7% of the total maximum penalties—again, this was only after plaintiff had prevailed at trial. In one recent California Court of Appeal case, a trial court's reduction of PAGA penalties by 90% was upheld. *Carrington v. Starbucks*, 30 Cal. App. 5th 504, 529 (2018).

19. Assuming that the Court assessed PAGA penalties of approximately 12% of the total maximum, a more realistic estimate of the PAGA penalties at issue is \$1,492,680.

20. Based on my own independent investigation and evaluation, I am of the opinion that settlement for the consideration and on the terms set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Settlement of Class and Representative Action is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interests of the Class and Defendant in light of all known facts and circumstances and the expenses and risks inherent in litigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE SETTLEMENT IS FAIR, REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE

21. From the inception of the case, Class Counsel has zealously represented the interests of the Class. The settlement was obtained for the benefit of the Class, as opposed to the individual Class Representative.

16 22. The Stipulation calls for the Net Settlement Amount (calculated after deduction of
attorneys' fees, costs, class representative service payment, LWDA payment, and claims
administration costs) to be paid to all Class Members based on dividing the Net Settlement
Amount by the total number of Qualified Wage Statements during the Class Period, resulting
in a dollar value per Qualified Wage Statement, and then multiplying said value to the number
of Qualified Wage Statements each Class Member was issued an itemized wage statement
during the Class Period. Stipulation at § 6.6.2.

23. Based on an estimated Net Settlement Amount of 699,426, Class Members will be entitled to recover, on average, up to \$529.06 each or \$14.05 per wage statement. The amount any particular Class Member will be entitled to recover will be greater or lower than this average depending on the number of Qualified Wage Statements he or she received during the Class Period, with some entitled to receive more, and others less. This is fair, as it directly allocates greater recovery to individual Class Members who have allegedly suffered greater harm.

6

24. Additionally, the scope of the release is appropriately limited. As a condition of participating in this settlement, Class Members waive and release any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities and causes of action of every nature and description, whether known or unknown, for Defendant's alleged violations of California Labor Code section 226 and claims pursuant to PAGA predicated on alleged violations of California Labor Code section 226, including claims for civil penalties under Labor Code section 226.3. *See* Stipulation at § 2.26. This release only applies during the time period of October 9, 2017 through October 7, 2018.

25. I believe that Plaintiff and the Class could prevail at trial. However, I also believe in the fairness of the settlement, which is based on factoring in the inherent risks in drawn-out litigation and the specific risks that a class might not be certified and/or that Plaintiff could fail to establish liability. Moreover, as Defendant adamantly denies that it should be liable for the full extent of the penalties, there is a possibility that Plaintiff and the Class would be awarded less or no penalties at trial.

26. Thus, after taking into account sharply disputed legal issues involved in this litigation, the risks associated with further prosecution, delays caused by potential appeals, and the substantial benefits to be received pursuant to the Joint Stipulation, I believe that the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interests of the Class.

ATTORNEY'S EXPERIENCE

27. Both Plaintiff's and Defendant's counsel have a great deal of experience in wage and hour class action litigation. Plaintiff's counsel has been approved as class counsel in a number of wage and hour class actions and have extensive litigation experience.

28. My qualifications are as follows: I received my JD from University of Southern California Gould School of Law in 2009. Upon graduation, I practiced law as an associate at Seyfarth Shaw LLP, representing corporate clients in both single-plaintiff and wage hour class actions. In 2014, I began as an associate at Diversity Law Group.

29. I have handled a number of wage and hour matters including class actions and multiple-plaintiff actions. I have been named as class counsel in a number of class actions that have been granted certification or preliminary or final approval by the Superior Courts of Los

1	Angeles County, Orange County, Alameda County, and Monterey County, the United States
2	District Court for the Central District of California, and the United States District Court for the
3	Northern District of California.
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
5	foregoing is true and correct.
6	Executed on this 13 th day of August, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.
7	
8	Nicholas Rosenthal
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	8
	DECLARATION OF NICHOLAS ROSENTHAL IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

EXHIBIT A

Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) Kristen M. Agnew (State Bar No. 247656) Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297) DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C. 515 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1250	
Los Angeles, CA 90071	
(213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile	
William L. Marder, Esq. (State Bar No. 17013 Polaris Law Group LLP 501 San Benito Street, Suite 200 Hollister, CA 95023 Tel: (831) 531-4214 Fax: (831) 634-0333 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	31)
	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
MARIA MORONES, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 34-2018-00245481-CU-OE-GDS
Plaintiffs,	(Assigned to the Honorable Gerrit W. Wood, Dept. 31)
VS.	JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND
BIMBO BAKERIES USA, INC., a	REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT
Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
Defendants.	
	suis, a
DB2/ 36756989.1 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND	REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

l	
1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP John S. Battenfeld, Bar No. 119513
2	300 South Grand Avenue
3	Twenty-Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 Tel: +1.213.612.2500
4	Tel: +1.213.612.2500 Fax: +1.213.612.2501
5	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
6	Ashley A. Baltazar, Bar No. 284921 One Market
7	Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596
8	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Tel: +1.415.442.1000 Fax: +1.415.442.1001
9 10	Attorneys for Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	GUS 4/19
	DB2/ 36756989.1 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT
]

1

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

This Joint Stipulation of Class and Representative Action Settlement is made and entered
into between Plaintiff Maria Morones ("Plaintiff" or "Class Representative"), on behalf of
herself and as a representative of the Settlement Class (as defined below) and Defendant Bimbo
Bakeries USA, Inc. ("Defendant" or "BBUSA") (Plaintiff and Defendant hereinafter are
collectively referred to as the "Parties") who are parties to the above-captioned litigation.

7

1. <u>THE CONDITIONAL NATURE OF THIS STIPULATION</u>

8 1.1. This Joint Stipulation of Class and Representative Action Settlement (herein 9 "Stipulation" or "Settlement"), including all associated exhibits or attachments, is made for the 10 sole purpose of settling the above-captioned Action. This Stipulation and the settlement it 11 evidences are made in compromise of disputed claims. Because this Action is being settled as a 12 class action ("Class Settlement"), this settlement must receive preliminary and final approval by 13 the Court. See Cal. R. Ct. 3.769. The settlement of the representative claim ("PAGA 14 Settlement") under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") is also subject to Court approval. See Cal. Lab. Code § 2699(1)(2). Accordingly, the Settling Parties (as defined in 15 16 Section 2.33) enter into this Stipulation and associated settlement on a conditional basis. If the 17 Court does not enter the Order of Final Approval in and of this Action and/or the proposed 18 Judgment does not become a Final Judgment in this Action for any reason, this Stipulation 19 (except for the obligations set forth in Section 3.3 and the confidentiality provisions set forth in 20 Section 7.2) shall be deemed null and void ab initio, it shall be of no force or effect whatsoever, 21 it shall not be referred to or utilized for any purpose whatsoever, and the negotiation, terms and 22 entry of the Stipulation shall remain subject to the provisions of California Evidence Code 23 sections 1119 and 1152, and any other analogous rules of evidence that are applicable.

1.2. Defendant denies all claims as to liability, damages, penalties, interest, fees, and
restitution, and all other forms of relief as well as the class and representative allegations asserted
in the Action, as that term is defined in Section 2.1. Defendant has agreed to resolve the Action
via this Stipulation, but to the extent this Stipulation is deemed void or the Final Judgment does
not occur, Defendant does not waive, but rather expressly reserves, all rights to challenge all

such claims and allegations in the Action upon all procedural and factual grounds, as well as
 asserting any and all other potential defenses or privileges. Class Representative and Class
 Counsel (as defined in Sections 2.9 and 2.5, respectively) agree that Defendant retains and
 reserves these rights, and agree not to argue or present any argument, and hereby waive any
 argument that, based on this Stipulation, Defendant cannot assert any and all potential defenses
 and privileges if the Action were to proceed.

2. DEFINITIONS

The following terms, when used in this Stipulation, shall have the following meanings:

8 9

7

2.1. "Action" collectively means the "Class Action" and "PAGA Action."

2.2. "Class Action" means the action, identified as *Maria Morones v. Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.*, Case No. 34-2018-00242133-CU-OE-GDS, which was filed in the Superior Court of
 the State of California for the County of Sacramento, on October 9, 2018 and subsequently
 removed to the United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:18-cv 03010-MCE-EFB.

15 2.3. "PAGA Action" means the above captioned action, identified as *Maria Morones*16 v. *Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.*, Case No. 34-2018-00245481-CU-OE-GDS, which was filed in the
17 Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Sacramento, on November 28, 2018

2.4. "Class" means all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who
were employed in California and who received shift premium wages at any time between
October 9, 2017 through October 7, 2018.

21

2.5. "Class Counsel" means Diversity Law Group, P.C. and Polaris Law Group LLP.

sur 1911

22 2.6. "Class Data" means the following information in Excel spreadsheet format
23 provided by Defendant to the Settlement Administrator (as defined below): (1) "Class Notice
24 Data": the names, social security numbers, and last known addresses and telephone numbers of
25 each Class Member (as defined below); and (2) "Additional Class Data": the number of
26 Qualified Wage Statements (as defined below) for each Class Member. "Class Data" refers
27 collectively to both Class Notice Data and Additional Class Data.

2

28

2.7. "Class Member" means each person eligible to participate in this Settlement who
 is a member of the Class defined above.

3 2.8. "Class Period" or "Settlement Period" mean the time period from October 9, 2017
4 through October 7, 2018.

2.9. "Class Representative" means Plaintiff Maria Morones.

5

6 2.10. "Class Representative's Released Claims" means all known and unknown claims. 7 including any Released Claims as well as all other wage and hour claims, claims under 8 California Business & Professions Code section 17200, claims under the Labor Code, including, 9 but not limited to, claims under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), and all claims for 10 indemnity or reimbursement of business expenses, overtime compensation, minimum wages, 11 penalties, liquidated damages, and interest, and all other claims under state, federal, and local 12 laws, as well as the common law, including laws related to discrimination, harassment, or 13 retaliation, except for claims currently asserted in the pending Complaint in Morones v. Bimbo 14 Bakeries USA, Inc., Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2018-00241411. Class Representative further covenants that she will not become a member of any other legal actions 15 16 against the Releasees, as that term is defined in Section 2.27, asserting any of Class 17 Representative's Released Claims, and will opt out of any such actions if necessary. 18 2.11. "Complaint" means the complaint filed in the PAGA Action in the Superior Court 19 of the State of California for the County of Sacramento on November 28, 2018. 20 2.12. "Court" shall mean the Sacramento County Superior Court. 2.13. "Defendant's Counsel" means Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. 21 22 2.14. "Final Approval Date" means the date on which the Court enters the Order of 23 Final Approval and Judgment. 24 2.15. "Final Approval Hearing" means a hearing set by the Court for the purpose of: 25 (i) determining the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Stipulation terms and associated Settlement pursuant to class action procedures and requirements; (ii) determining the 26 27 amount of the award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel; (iii) determining the amount 28 Suf 14/19 3 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

of the Service Payment to Class Representative; and (iv) entering the Order of Final Approval of
 the Settlement and Judgment.

3 2.16. "Final Judgment" means the latest of the following dates: (i) if no Class Member 4 files an objection to the Settlement, or if a Class Member files an objection to the Settlement 5 which is subsequently withdrawn by the Class Member, then the date the Court enters an Order 6 of Final Approval of the Settlement and Judgment; (ii) if a Class Member files an objection to 7 the Settlement, then after the applicable date for appealing or otherwise seeking appellate review 8 of the Court's Order of Final Approval of the Settlement has passed, and no appeal or request for 9 review is filed; and (iii) if an appeal or request for review is filed, the date of final resolution of 10 that appeal (including any requests for rehearing and/or petitions for writ of certiorari) resulting 11 in the final judicial approval of the Settlement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any proceeding, 12 order, or appeal pertaining solely to the award of attorneys' fees or costs or Service Payment shall not by itself in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming a Final Judgment. 13

14

15

2.17. "First Amended Complaint" or "FAC" means the First Amended Complaint filed as part of the settlement of the Action and only for the purpose of effectuating this settlement, as further explained in Paragraph 3.3.

16 17

2.18. "Funding Date" shall be thirty (30) business days following Final Judgment.

18 2.19. "Gross Settlement Amount" or "GSA" is the sum of One Million One Hundred 19 Forty-Four Thousand Three Hundred and Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$1,144,388.00), which 20 represents the total maximum amount payable in this Settlement by Defendant, which includes 21 attorneys' fees and litigation costs, costs of settlement administration by the Settlement Administrator, the Service Payment to Class Representative, payment in the total amount of 22 23 Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000) for PAGA penalties ("the PAGA Payment") (75% of which 24 will be paid to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), and 25% 25 will be included in the amount allocated to the Settlement Class), and the amounts payable to the Settlement Class. 26

27 2.20. "Judgment" means the judgment to be executed and filed by the Court pursuant to
28 this Stipulation along with the Order of Final Approval of the Settlement.

500 A/1

2.21. "Net Settlement Amount" or "NSA" is the portion of the GSA eligible for
 distribution of Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members and shall be the amount
 remaining after deduction of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and litigation costs, the Service
 Payment to Class Representative, the Settlement Administrator's costs, and the payment to the
 LWDA for PAGA penalties.

6

2.22. "Notice of Settlement" shall mean the document attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2.23. "Preliminary Approval Date" means the date the Court approves this Stipulation,
and the exhibits thereto, and enters an Order providing for mailing of the Notice of Settlement to
Class Members, an opportunity to submit timely objections to the Settlement, and setting a
hearing on the fairness of the terms of Settlement, including approval of the Service Payment,
and attorneys' fees and costs.

12 2.24. "Qualified Settlement Fund" or "QSF" mean the fund established by the
13 Settlement Administrator for the benefit of Settlement Class Members and from which the
14 Settlement Payments shall be paid.

15 2.25. "Qualified Wage Statements" means the number of wage statements a Class
16 Member received in which the Class Member was paid shift premium wages in California during
17 the Class Period.

18 2.26. "Released Claims" shall mean all wage-and-hour claims, demands, rights, 19 liabilities, and causes of action of every nature and descriptions whatsoever, known or unknown, arising on or before October 7, 2018, which were or could have been alleged in the Action 20 21 related to any claim based on the Releasees' alleged failure to provide accurate wage statements, 22 including claims for statutory penalties under California Labor Code § 226 and claims for civil 23 penalties under PAGA, Labor Code § 2698 et seq., including claims for civil penalties under 24 Labor Code § 226.3 based on alleged violations of Labor Code § 226. The Released Claims 25 include any claims relating to the provision of wage statements that the Settlement Class Members do not know or suspect to exist in their favor at the time of this release, which, if 26 27 known by them, might have affected their settlement with, and release of, the Released Parties or 28 might have affected their decision not to object to this Settlement. For the avoidance of any

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

1 doubt, this release covers all claims of any nature relating to the provision of wage statements for 2 Class Members during the period of time from October 9, 2017 through and including October 7, 3 2018. With respect to only the Released Claims as defined herein, the Settlement Class 4 Members expressly waive all rights under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Said 5 section reads as follows:

6 7

8

9

Section 1542. A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

10 "Releasees" means Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. and each of its past, 2.27. 11 present and/or future, direct and/or indirect, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, 12 business units, predecessors, and successors, and BBUSA and each of these entities' respective 13 past, present, and/or future officers, directors, owners, employees, agents, representatives, 14 attorneys, insurers, partners, investors, shareholders, trustees, fiduciaries, administrators, and/or 15 assigns.

"Request for Exclusion" means the document that a Class Member must complete 16 2.28. 17 and timely submit in order to be excluded from the Settlement.

18 2.29. "Service Payment" means the amount approved by the Court, not to exceed 19 Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500), to be paid to Class Representative in 20 recognition of her efforts and time on behalf of the Class.

21

"Settlement Administrator" shall be Phoenix Settlement Administrators. 2.30.

22 2.31. "Settlement Administrator Costs" shall mean the amount approved by the Court 23 to be paid to the Settlement Administrator as described in Section 6.5.

"Settlement Class" shall mean all Settlement Class Members, all of whom will 24 2.32. 25 become bound by the Judgment including the Released Claims if the Final Judgment occurs. "Settlement Class End Date" means October 7, 2018.

6

26 27

2.33.

28

10,4/19

2.34. "Settlement Class Member" means each person who is a member of the Class
 defined above and who does not submit a timely and valid Request for Exclusion (as defined
 above).

4 2.35. "Settlement Payment" means the amount due to each Settlement Class Member
5 under the terms of this Stipulation and as calculated by the Settlement Administrator pursuant to
6 Sections 6.6 and 7.4. Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members represent statutory and
7 civil penalties alone and do not represent any wages.

8

2.36. "Settling Parties" shall mean Plaintiff and Defendant.

9 2.37. "Stipulation" or "Settlement" shall mean this Joint Stipulation of Class and
10 Representative Action Settlement, signed by the Parties and their respective counsel.

2.38. "Total Qualified Wage Statements" means the total aggregate number of
 Qualified Wage Statements issued to all Settlement Class Members during the Class Period.

13

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE LITIGATION

14 3.1. Plaintiff is a current, non-exempt BBUSA employee who works in Sacramento, 15 California. On September 10, 2018, Plaintiff sent a letter to the LWDA providing notice under 16 PAGA of alleged violations of Labor Code § 226 by Defendant based on the contention that 17 Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees in California were not provided accurate itemized wage 18 statements. On October 9, 2018, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the Superior Court for the County 19 of Sacramento alleging a class claim based on Defendant's alleged violations of Labor Code § 20 226. The putative class/allegedly aggrieved employees are defined as all current and former 21 California non-exempt employees of Defendant who were received shift premium wages during 22 the relevant period. This action was subsequently removed to the United States District Court 23 for the Eastern District of California. On November 28, 2018, Plaintiff filed a separate 24 representative action in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento on behalf of herself and 25 similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees employed by Defendant in 26 California for civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, 27 Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2698 et seq. ("PAGA"). Between October 9, 2017 and October 7, 2018, 28 Defendant had approximately 1,322 current and former non-exempt employees in California who GND 4/19 7

were paid shift premium wages on wage statement during one or more pay periods, totaling
 approximately 49,756 total pay periods.

3 3.2. On February 21, 2019, the Parties participated in private mediation with 4 third-party neutral Lisa Klerman. At the mediation, the Parties discussed a resolution of 5 Plaintiff's claims on a class and PAGA representative basis. Following mediation, the Parties 6 accepted a mediator's proposal that memorialized the GSA and NSA and other material terms of 7 the Settlement. At all times, the Parties' settlement negotiations have been non-collusive, 8 adversarial, and at arms' length. The Parties agree that the above-described investigation and 9 evaluation, as well as informal discovery and information exchanged prior to and during the 10 settlement negotiations, are more than sufficient to assess the merits of the Parties' respective 11 positions and to compromise the issues on a fair and equitable basis.

12 3.3. In order to effectuate the settlement of this Action and for purposes of this settlement only, the Parties will (1) file a stipulated request to stay the Class Action in the United 13 14 States District Court for the Eastern District of California; and (2) stipulate to request leave for 15 Plaintiff to file a First Amended Complaint in the PAGA Action, which adds the class action 16 claims asserted in the Class Action. Defendant's previously-filed Answer to the Class Action 17 will apply to the class claims added to the First Amended Complaint, and so Defendant will not 18 be required to respond to the First Amended Complaint. If the Court does not approve this 19 Stipulation and/or there is no Final Judgment, (1) Plaintiff will withdraw the First Amended 20 Complaint and the original PAGA-only Complaint will become operative again; and (2) the 21 Parties will file a stipulated request to lift the stay of the Class Action. In other words, the Class 22 Action and the PAGA action will be returned to their procedural status prior to the Settlement.

3.4. Contemporaneous with the filing of this Stipulation seeking court approval of the
Settlement, Class Counsel shall notify the LWDA of this Settlement pursuant to Labor Code
section 2699(l)(1), and otherwise comply with the provisions of Labor Code section 2699(l).

26

4. <u>DEFENDANT'S DENIAL OF WRONGDOING OR LIABILITY</u>

27 Defendant denies any and all liability or wrongdoing of any kind with regard to any and 28 all of the claims alleged, and makes no concessions or admissions of liability of any kind, or that

8

1 class or representative action treatment is appropriate, warranted, or manageable. Defendant 2 maintains that for any purpose other than Settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class or 3 representative action treatment. Neither this Stipulation, nor any document referred to in it, nor 4 any actions taken pursuant to this Stipulation, is or should be construed as an admission by 5 Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. There has been no determination by 6 any agency or court as to the merits of the claims asserted by Plaintiff against Defendant. 7 Nonetheless, Defendant has concluded that further conduct of the Action would be protracted, 8 and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner 9 and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Defendant has also taken into

account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation. Defendant has therefore determined
that it is desirable and beneficial to it to settle the Action in the manner and upon the terms and
conditions set forth in this Stipulation.

13

5. BENEFITS OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE CLASS AND DEFENDANT

14 5.1. Class Counsel have diligently pursued an investigation of Plaintiff's claims 15 against Defendant. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel 16 are of the opinion that settlement with Defendant for the consideration and on the terms set forth in this Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and is in the best interest of the Class in light 17 18 of all known facts and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay and uncertainty 19 associated with litigation, various factual and legal defenses asserted by Defendant, the risk that the Class would not be certified if Defendant opposed certification, the risk that a court would 20 21 find that a PAGA trial would not be manageable, and the risk that a court would not avoid penalties or would significantly reduce penalties. In addition to the above, Class Counsel has 22 23 weighed the monetary benefit provided by the Settlement to the Class against the expenses and 24 length of continued proceedings that would be necessary to prosecute the Action against Defendant through further litigation, trial, and possible appeals. Therefore, Class Counsel has 25 26 determined that the settlement set forth in this Stipulation is in the best interests of the Class.

27 28 5.2. Defendant and Defendant's Counsel also agree that the Settlement is fair and reasonable given the uncertainty and risks and costs of further litigation because Defendant has

9

545,119

concluded that further conduct of the Action would be protracted, distracting, and expensive, and
 that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms
 and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Defendant has therefore determined that it is
 desirable and beneficial to it to settle the Action in the manner and upon the terms and conditions
 set forth in this Stipulation.

5.3. It is the Parties' intention that this Settlement shall constitute a full and complete
settlement and release of any and all Released Claims against Releasees.

8

6. OPERATIVE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

9 The Parties stipulate to the conditional certification of the Class as defined herein for 10 settlement purposes only. As set forth in Sections 7.3.3 to 7.3.5, this stipulation will be void if 11 this Settlement is not approved by the Court. The Parties further stipulate that, for settlement purposes only, Diversity Law Group, P.C. and Polaris Law Group LLP may be appointed Class 12 13 Counsel and that Plaintiff may be appointed Class Representative. Defendant's stipulation to 14 this Settlement class shall not be construed as an admission or acknowledgement of any kind that any class should be certified or given representative action treatment for any purpose other than 15 16 settlement.

Additionally, the Parties to the Action agree as follows:

17 18

6.1. Gross Settlement Amount

19 Defendant shall pay the GSA of One Million One Hundred Forty-Four Thousand Three Hundred and Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$1,144,388.00) to resolve the Action on a class-wide 20 21 and representative action basis, as more fully described below. The GSA is non-reversionary. 22 The GSA includes attorneys' fees and reasonable court costs (not to exceed $33^{1/3}$ % of the GSA 23 for attorneys' fees), costs of settlement administration by the Settlement Administrator, the Service Payment to the Class Representative (not to exceed \$7,500), payment in the amount of 24 25 \$30,000 for the PAGA Payment, and the Settlement Payments to the Settlement Class Members. 26 Under no circumstances will Defendant be required to pay more than the GSA to satisfy its 27 obligations under the Settlement.

28

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

1

6.2.

Class Representative's Service Payment

2 Class Representative's Service Payment will, subject to Court approval, be in an 3 amount not to exceed \$7,500. Because the Service Payment represents payment to Class 4 Representative for service to the Class Members, taxes will not be withheld from the Service 5 Payment. The Settlement Administrator will report the Service Payment on an IRS Form 1099, and any other required tax forms, and will provide said form(s) to Class Representative and to 6 7 the pertinent taxing authorities as required by law, Class Representative assumes full 8 responsibility for paying all taxes, federal and state, if any, due as a result of the Service Payment 9 and agrees to indemnify Defendant for any such taxes owed by her. Any amount of Class 10 Representative's Service Payment that is not awarded by the Court shall be added to the NSA. Class Representative shall also be entitled to recover her proportionate share of the NSA. 11

12

6.3. Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Class Counsel shall apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees (not to exceed 33¹/₃% of the GSA for attorneys' fees), plus reimbursement of reasonable court costs of up to \$20,000. Defendant will not oppose Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and reasonable court costs in accordance with the terms of this provision. In the event the Court awards less than the amount of requested fees and/or costs, any unapproved amounts shall be added to the NSA for distribution to Participating Class Members.

19

6.4. PAGA Payment

\$30,000 of the GSA will be allocated to the PAGA Payment for alleged PAGA
civil penalties. Subject to court approval, the Settlement Administrator will pay 75% of the
PAGA Payment (*i.e.*, \$22,500) to the LWDA for its share of the alleged PAGA penalties and
25% (*i.e.*, \$7,500) will be included in the NSA. If the Court orders that a larger amount be paid
for PAGA penalties, this amount will be paid out of the GSA, thereby reducing the NSA by the
amount of the PAGA Payment ordered to be paid to the LWDA.

26

6.5. <u>Settlement Administrator</u>

27 6.5.1. The Settlement Administrator shall be Phoenix Settlement Administrators.
28 The fees and expenses reasonably incurred by the Settlement Administrator as a result of the

11

procedures and processes required by this Stipulation (the "Settlement Administration Costs") · 1 2 shall be paid out of the GSA, estimated to be Thirteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars 3 (\$13,500). The Settlement Administration Costs shall include: all costs of administering the 4 Settlement, including, but not limited to, all tax document preparation, custodial fees, and 5 accounting fees incurred by the Settlement Administrator; all costs and fees associated with 6 preparing, issuing, and mailing any and all notices and other correspondence to Class Members; 7 all costs and fees associated with communicating with Class Members, Class Counsel, and 8 Defendant's Counsel: all costs and fees associated with computing, processing, reviewing, and 9 paying the Settlement Payments, and resolving disputed claims; all costs and fees associated with 10 determining tax implications, if any, and issuing any required tax forms relating to payments made under the Settlement; all costs and fees associated with preparing any other notices, 11 12 reports, or filings to be prepared in the course of administering Settlement Payments; and any 13 other costs and fees incurred and/or charged by the Settlement Administrator in connection with 14 the execution of its duties under this Stipulation. Should the amount charged by the Settlement 15 Administrator be less than the \$13,500 estimate, the surplus shall be added back to the NSA. 16 6.5.2. The Parties agree that the Settlement Administrator shall establish a 17 Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") that is intended to be pursuant to Section 468B of the Code 18 and Treas. Reg. §1.468B-1, 26 CFR § 1.468B-1 et seq., and will be administered by the 19 Settlement Administrator as such. With respect to the OSF, the Settlement Administrator shall: 20 (1) open and administer a settlement account in such a manner as to gualify and maintain the qualification of the QSF as a "Qualified Settlement Fund" under Section 468B of the Code and 21 22 Treas. Reg. §1.468B-1; (2) satisfy all federal, state, and local income and other tax reporting, 23 return, and filing requirements, if any, with respect to the QSF; and (4) satisfy out of the QSF all fees, expenses, and costs incurred in connection with the opening and administration of the QSF 24 and the performance of its duties and functions as described in this Agreement. The 25 26 aforementioned fees, costs, and expenses shall be treated as and included in the costs of 27 administering the QSF and as Settlement Administration Costs.

28

ETTLEMENT

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

1 6.5.3. The Parties agree that Defendant and Settlement Administrator can confer 2 regarding the Class Data. 3 6.5.4. The actions of the Settlement Administrator shall be governed by the terms of this Stipulation and any Orders from the Court. 4 5 6.5.5. In the event that either Defendant's Counsel or Class Counsel takes the 6 position that the Settlement Administrator is not acting in accordance with the terms of the 7 Stipulation, such Party shall meet and confer first with opposing counsel and/or, if necessary 8 with the Settlement Administrator or the Court to attempt to resolve the issue. 9 6.6. Calculation of Settlement Payments 10 6.6.1. The NSA will consist of all funds remaining from the GSA after Class 11 Counsel's fees and costs, administrations fees, the class representative enhancement, and 12 payment to the LWDA are made. In total, the GSA is \$1,144,388.00. Attorney's fees will be no 13 more than \$381,462.00, which is 33.3% of the GSA. Class Counsel's costs will be no more than \$20,000. The Class Administrator's fees will be approximately \$13,500. The Class 14 15 Representative Service Payment will be no more than \$7,500. The payment to the LWDA will be \$22,500. In total, the NSA will be at least \$699,426.00. 16 17 6.6.2. The Settlement Administrator will calculate pro rata Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members from the NSA based on the following formulas for allocating the 18 19 Settlement Payments, which the Parties and their Counsel believe are reasonable and are 20 designed to provide a fair apportionment of the settlement funds to the Class: 21 Defendant will provide the Settlement Administrator with Class Data, a. 22 including the number of Qualified Wage Statements issued to each Class Member during the 23 Class Period, and the Total Qualified Wage Statements issued to all Class Members during the 24 Class Period; b. 25 After the Final Judgment, the Settlement Administrator shall divide the 26 NSA by the Total Qualified Wage Statements, issued to all Settlement Class Members, thereby 27 determining the individual Qualified Wage Statement Value. 28 215 411 13 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

c. The Settlement Administrator will pay each Settlement Class Member the
 sum of the number of Qualified Wage Statements received by the Settlement Class Member
 times the Qualified Wage Statement Value.

4 6.7. Tax Treatment. For tax purposes, 100% of all payments made to Settlement Class 5 Members under this Settlement shall be treated as non-wage payments for claimed statutory and 6 civil penalties that will not be subject to payroll taxes or tax withholding or any employer's share 7 of federal or state payroll taxes or contributions by BBUSA (an IRS Form 1099 will be issued 8 for such payment). Neither Bimbo Bakeries, BBUSA's counsel, or Class Counsel, make any 9 representations, and it is understood and agreed that they have made no representations as to the 10 taxability to any Class Members of any portions of the Settlement Payments, the payment of any 11 costs or an award of attorneys' fees, or any payments to Class Representative. The Parties 12 further understand that Class Representative and any Class Member who receives any Settlement 13 Payment pursuant to this Stipulation shall be solely responsible for any and all tax obligations 14 associated with such receipt. Class Representative and any Class Member who receives any 15 Settlement Payment should consult with their tax advisors concerning the tax consequences of 16 the Settlement Payments they receive under the Settlement.

17 6.8. Circular 230 Disclaimer. Each Party to this Stipulation (for purposes of this 18 section, the "acknowledging party" and each Party to this Stipulation other than the 19 acknowledging party, an "other party") acknowledges and agrees that: (1) no provision of this 20 Stipulation, and no written communication or disclosure between or among the Parties or their 21 attorneys and other advisers, is or was intended to be, nor shall any such communication or 22 disclosure constitute or be construed or be relied upon as, tax advice within the meaning of 23 United States Treasury Department circular 230 (31 CFR part 10, as amended); (2) the 24 acknowledging party (a) has relied exclusively upon his, her or its own, independent legal and 25 tax counsel for advice (including tax advice) in connection with this Stipulation, (b) has not 26 entered into this Stipulation based upon the recommendation of any other Party or any attorney 27 or advisor to any other Party, and (c) is not entitled to rely upon any communication or disclosure by any attorney or adviser to any other party to avoid any tax penalty that may be 28

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

imposed on the acknowledging party, and (3) no attorney or adviser to any other Party has
 imposed any limitation that protects the confidentiality of any such attorney's or adviser's tax
 strategies (regardless of whether such limitation is legally binding) upon disclosure by the
 acknowledging party of the tax treatment or tax structure of any transaction, including any
 transaction contemplated by this Stipulation.

6 6.9. Benefits Not Affected. BBUSA will not use the Settlement Payments to calculate 7 any additional benefits including, for example (but without limitation), vacation, holiday pay, 8 pension, or 401(k) plan contributions. The Settlement Payments are not wages and do not 9 represent any modification of previously credited hours of service or other eligibility criteria 10 under any employee pension or employee welfare benefit plan sponsored by BBUSA, and are 11 not "compensation" for purposes of determining eligibility for, or benefit accrual within, an 12 employee pension benefit plan, an employee welfare benefit plan, or other plan sponsored by 13 BBUSA, or its predecessors, subsidiaries, or successors.

6.10. <u>Class Members' Released Claims</u>. Upon the Final Judgment, each Settlement
Class Member and any person purporting to act on behalf of the State of California Member as a
representative of any Class shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released all
Released Claims. These releases will take effect whether or not a Class Member receives the
Notice of Settlement or his or her Settlement Payment or cashes or deposits any check for the
Settlement Class Member's Settlement Payment.

20

7. NOTICE TO CLASS AND SETTLEMENT PROCEDURE

21

7.1. Preliminary Approval

7.1.1. Class Counsel shall submit to the Court this Joint Stipulation, and exhibits
thereto, for preliminary approval by the Court. Class Counsel will prepare and file the
Preliminary Approval motion papers, but will send the Preliminary Approval motion papers to
Defendant's counsel for their review and comment at least one week prior to their filing. The
Court's preliminary approval of this Settlement shall be embodied in a proposed order
preliminarily approving the Settlement, jointly prepared by Class Counsel and Defendant's

15

counsel and providing for the Notice of Settlement (see Exhibit A) to be mailed to the Class
 Members, and which will also set the date for the final approval hearing.

7.1.2. If the total number of Qualified Wage Statements at issue in the
Additional Class Data (as defined in Section 2.3) is more than 52,244, there shall be a pro-rata
increase of the GSA using the following formulas: Total Qualified Wage Statements minus
52,244 divided by 52,244 times GSA.

7

7.2. Notice of Settlement

8 7.2.1. Within fifteen (15) business days following the Preliminary Approval Date. Defendant will send to the Settlement Administrator the Class Notice Data. Upon receipt 9 10 of the Class Notice Data, the Settlement Administrator shall check the names of Class Members 11 with the U.S. Postal Service National Change of Address Database and update any addresses with any new information found regarding the location of those Class Members. The Settlement 12 13 Administrator will update the Class Notice Data with the new contact information found 14 pursuant to its obligations in this paragraph, or based on information provided by Class Members during the claims process. Further, within fifteen (15) business days following the Preliminary 15 Approval Date, Defendant will send to the Settlement Administrator the Additional Class Data. 16 17 The Class Data shall be used by the Settlement Administrator solely for the purpose of 18 administering the Settlement. The Class Data shall be kept confidential by the Settlement 19 Administrator and none of its contents shall be disclosed, shared with, or communicated to Class 20 Counsel, except as required under the Settlement.

7.2.2. Within fifteen (15) business days of receiving the Class Notice Data from
Defendant, the Settlement Administrator will send via first class mail the Notice of Settlement
approved by the Court to the Class Members using the Class Notice Data Defendant provided to
the Settlement Administrator as updated by the Settlement Administrator as described in Section
7.2.1 above.

26

27

28

7.2.3. If any Notices of Settlement are returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall run a skip-trace in an effort to attempt to ascertain the current address of the Class Member. If such address is ascertained, the Settlement

16

<u>Cars</u> 119

1 Administrator shall re-mail the Notice of Settlement within ten (10) calendar days. If alternative 2 addresses are obtained for a Class Member, the Settlement Administrator shall send the Notice of 3 Settlement to up to three (3) alternative addresses.

4 7.2.4. Class Members will have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date of 5 mailing the Notices of Settlement within which to file a written objection to the Settlement by 6 sending to the Settlement Administrator a written objection as set forth in the Notice of 7 Settlement, and provide notice of any intent to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. In 8 addition, Class Members may also object in person at the Final Approval Hearing without having 9 previously filed a written objection. Class Counsel agrees that they will not solicit, encourage, or advise any individual to object to the Settlement. Class Members who submit a timely Request 10 11 for Exclusion shall have no standing to file an objection to the Settlement, and any such 12 objection will be of no force and effect.

13 7.2.5. Class Members will have forty-five (45) calendar days from the date of 14 mailing the Notices of Settlement within which to opt out of and be excluded from the 15 Settlement. To opt out, a Class Member must submit a written request to opt out of the Settlement Administrator ("Request for Exclusion") within this 45-day deadline. Written 16 17 Requests for Exclusion that are post-marked after this 45-day deadline will be untimely, and 18 Class Members submitting untimely Requests for Exclusion shall be bound by the Settlement 19 and its releases and will be Settlement Class Members for settlement payment purposes unless 20 this Court orders that the Request for Exclusion is timely.

21

7.3. **Final Approval**

22 7.3.1. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiff will move the Court for 23 entry of the Order of Final Approval (and associated entry of Judgment): (a) finally approving 24 the Class Settlement as fair and adequate; (b) approving the PAGA Settlement; (c) approving 25 Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and costs; (d) approving Class 26 Representative's application for a Service Payment; (e) approving the payment of reasonable 27 Settlement Administration Costs; and (f) releasing and barring any Released Claims by 28 Settlement Class Members. The Parties and their counsel shall make all reasonable efforts to Suy 4/1

17

secure entry of the Order of Final Approval and Judgment. The proposed Order of Final
 Approval, and the associated proposed Judgment shall be jointly prepared by the Parties, and the
 Motion for Final Approval shall be sent to Defendant's counsel for review and comment at least
 five (5) business days before filing with the Court in connection with the Final Approval
 Hearing.

6 7.3.2. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, concurrent with or prior to the filing 7 of the Motion for Final Approval, Class Counsel shall file a Motion for Approval of Attorneys' 8 Fees and Costs and seeking the proposed Service Payment to Class Representative as set forth in 9 the Stipulation. Class Representative and Class Counsel agree that they shall be responsible for 10 justifying the amount of the Service Payment and attorneys' fees and costs to the Court, and they 11 agree to submit, as appropriate, the necessary materials to justify these payments. Defendant 12 will not oppose the amount of the Service Payment and attorneys' fees and costs sought as long 13 as they are consistent with the Stipulation. If the Court (or any appellate court) awards less than 14 the amount requested for attorneys' fees and/or costs, or less than the amount requested for the 15 Service Payment for Class Representative, only the awarded amounts shall be paid and shall 16 constitute satisfaction of the obligations of Defendant under this Stipulation, and the unawarded 17 amounts shall be added to the NSA for calculation of Settlement Class Members' total 18 Settlement Payments.

19 7.3.3. If an order by the Court or in any appellate proceeding results in an order 20 materially modifying, setting aside, or vacating any portion of the Stipulation, with the exception 21 of any modification of the amount of attorneys' fees or costs to be paid to Class Counsel, or the 22 amount of the Service Payment paid to Class Representative, any Party adversely impacted by 23 the order shall have the right, at its sole discretion, to treat such order as an event voiding the 24 Settlement and preventing Final Judgment. To exercise this right, the Party must inform the 25 other Party and the Settlement Administrator, in writing, of the exercise of this right, within ten 26 (10) business days of receiving notice of any order meeting the conditions set forth above. 27 Before either Party elects to exercise its right to treat such order as an event permanently 28 preventing Final Judgment, that Party must meet and confer in good faith with the other party to

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

determine if an agreement can be reached modifying this Settlement to the mutual satisfaction of
 the Parties along with any necessary court approval.

7.3.4. Defendant may withdraw from Settlement if any of the following occur:
(a) more than 50 Class Members opt out of the Settlement; (b) the Settlement is construed in
such a fashion that Defendant is required to pay more than the GSA; or (c) the Court does not
approve the Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement. If Defendant withdraws from the
Settlement pursuant to subsection (a), then Defendant shall be responsible for all costs of the
Settlement Administrator.

9 7.3.5. If Final Judgment does not occur, or if this Stipulation is terminated or 10 canceled pursuant to its terms, the Parties to this Stipulation shall be deemed to have reverted to 11 their respective status as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of this 12 Stipulation. In such an event, if the Stipulation is not approved by the Court substantially in the 13 form agreed to by the Parties, or if the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is terminated, 14 cancelled, declared void, or fails to become effective in accordance with its terms, or if the 15 Judgment does not become a Final Judgment, or if the Final Approval Date does not occur, this Stipulation (except for those provisions relating to non-admission, denial of liability set forth 16 17 above, and the confidentiality agreements entered into by the Parties) shall be deemed null and 18 void, its terms and provisions shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties 19 and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any Judgment 20 or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation shall be treated as 21 vacated nunc pro tunc. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Stipulation, no order of the 22 Court, modification, or reversal on appeal of any order of the Court, reducing the amount of any 23 attorneys' fees or costs to be paid by Defendant to Class Counsel, or reducing the amount of the 24 Service Payment paid to Class Representative, shall constitute grounds for cancellation or 25 termination of the Stipulation, or grounds for limiting any other provision of the Judgment.

7.3.6. Within seven (7) calendar days after Final Judgment, the Parties shall file
in the Eastern District of California a Stipulation of Dismissal with prejudice of the Class Action.

19

274110

28

1

7.4.

Funding and Distribution of the Settlement

2 7.4.1. Within seven (7) calendar days after Final Judgment, the Settlement 3 Administrator shall send Defendant's Counsel electronic wiring instructions for paying the GSA 4 into the QSF set up, held, and controlled by the Settlement Administrator that qualifies as a 5 "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1 et seq.

6

7

7.4.2. By the Funding Date, Defendant shall pay the GSA to the Settlement Administrator and the Settlement Administrator shall fund the QSF.

8 7.4.3. Within fifteen (15) business days after Defendant funds the QSF, the 9 Settlement Administrator shall issue and mail Settlement Payments to Settlement Class Members 10 by checks which shall become null and void if not deposited within 180 calendar days of 11 mailing. If a Settlement Class Member fails to cash or deposit his/her settlement check within 12 180 days of mailing, his/her Settlement Payment shall be sent to the Unclaimed Property Fund at 13 the California State Controller, except if otherwise prescribed by California Code of Civil 14 Procedure § 384. Settlement Class Members who fail to cash their check within 180 calendar 15 days of mailing will still be bound by the Settlement including the Released Claims. If a 16 Settlement Class Member's settlement check is returned undeliverable and a valid mailing 17 address cannot be ascertained for the Settlement Class Member, his/her Settlement Payment shall 18 be redistributed pro-rata among the Settlement Class whose notices were not returned 19 undeliverable.

20 7.4.4. Within fifteen (15) calendar days after Defendant funds the QSF, the 21 Settlement Administrator shall pay the Court-approved attorneys' fees and costs to Class 22 Counsel or a trust account designated by Class Counsel. Class Counsel shall provide to the 23 Settlement Administrator, with a copy to Defendant, the pertinent taxpayer identification number and IRS Form W-9 within seven (7) calendar days after the Funding Date. Notwithstanding, if 24 25 as of the Funding Date, there is any ongoing proceeding or appeal pertaining solely to the award of Court-approved attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, then such payment will be delayed 26 27 until fourteen (14) calendar days after the entry of a final non-appealable ruling and/or judgment 28 concerning Court-approved attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel. GUB 4/19

20

7.4.5. Within fifteen (15) calendar days after Defendant funds the QSF, the
 Settlement Administrator shall send a check by mail for the court-approved Service Payment to
 Class Representative, care of Class Counsel. Notwithstanding, if as of the Funding Date, there is
 any ongoing proceeding or appeal pertaining solely to Court-approved Service Payment to Class
 Representative, then such payment will be delayed until fourteen (14) calendar days after the
 entry of a final non-appealable ruling and/or judgment concerning the Court-approved Service
 Payment to Class Representative.

8 7.4.6. Within fifteen (15) calendar days after Defendant funds the QSF, the
9 Settlement Administrator shall remit 75% of the amount allocated as PAGA penalties (*i.e.*,
10 \$22,500) to the LWDA for its share of the alleged PAGA penalties.

11

8. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

12 8.1. Neither the acceptance nor the performance by Defendant of the terms of this 13 Stipulation, nor any of the related negotiations or proceedings, is or shall be claimed to be, 14 construed as, or deemed to be, an admission by Defendant of the truth of any of the allegations in 15 the Complaint, the appropriateness of class or representative action treatment, the validity of any of the claims that were or could have been asserted by Plaintiff and/or any Class Members in the 16 17 Action, or of any liability or guilt of Defendant in the Action. Nothing in this Stipulation shall 18 be construed to be or deemed an admission by Defendant of any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward Plaintiff, the Class Members, or any other person, and Defendant 19 20 specifically disclaims any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward each and all of them. Each of the Parties has entered into this Stipulation with the intention to avoid further 21 22 disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience, expenses, and contingencies.

8.2. The Parties agree to cooperate fully with one another to accomplish and
implement the terms of this Settlement. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to,
execution of such other documents and the taking of such other action as may reasonably be
necessary to fulfill the terms of this Settlement. The Parties to this Settlement shall exercise
reasonable efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement and any other efforts that

28

JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

21

114/19

1 may become necessary by Court order, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement and the terms set forth herein. 2 8.3. 3 Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands, or other communications given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly 4 given as of the date of receipt by email or first class mail, addressed as follows: 5 To the Class: 6 7 Larry W. Lee (State Bar No. 228175) Kristen M. Agnew (State Bar No. 247656) 8 Nicholas Rosenthal (State Bar No. 268297) Diversity Law Group, P.C. 9 515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1250 Los Angeles, CA 90071 10 (213) 488-6555 (213) 488-6554 facsimile 11 William L. Marder (State Bar No. 170131) 12 Polaris Law Group LLP 501 San Benito St., Suite 200 13 Hollister, California 95023 (831) 531-4214 14 (831) 634-0333 facsimile 15 To Defendant: 16 John S. Battenfeld (State Bar No. 119513) Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 17 300 South Grand Avenue 18 Twenty-Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 19 (213) 612-2500 (213) 612-2501 20 Ashley A. Baltazar (State Bar No. 284921) 21 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP One Market 22 Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 23 (415) 442-1000 (415) 442-1001 24 8.4. Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree not to disclose the terms of this Settlement, 25 including as set forth in the accepted mediator's proposal, until this Stipulation is filed in court. 26 At any time, Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall not issue a press release, hold a press conference, 27 publish information about the Settlement, this Stipulation, the Parties' mediator's proposal, on 28 any website or other public medium or forum, or otherwise publicize the Parties' mediator's JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

proposal, or this Stipulation (except for filing this Stipulation in Court and filing papers to obtain 1 2 court approval of the Settlement). At any time, Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree not to respond 3 to any press inquiries, except that the Parties have agreed to settle the matter (or are seeking 4 approval of settlement) and refer the inquirer to the filed Stipulation. Class Counsel can discuss 5 the Settlement with Class Members who contact Class Counsel's office about the Settlement, but 6 Class Counsel will not encourage Class Members who contact them to publicize the Settlement. 7 Nothing shall prevent Class Counsel from responding to media inquiries related to objectors or 8 intervenors to the proposed Settlement, but such responses shall not disparage Defendant.

9 8.5. Neither Class Counsel nor any other attorneys acting for, or purporting to act for, the Class, any individual Class Members, or Plaintiff, may recover or seek to recover any 10 11 amounts for attorneys' fees, costs, or disbursements from the Releasees or the GSA except as 12 expressly provided herein.

13 8.6. Plaintiff represents that she has not participated in or encouraged the bringing of 14 any claims against Defendant by any of Defendant's current or former employees that are not 15 covered by Class Members' Released Claims. Class Counsel represents that they do not 16 currently represent any person or persons who have filed any other pending claims, complaints, 17 or grievances against Defendant and/or the Releasees, or who are considering filing any claims, 18 complaints, or grievances against Defendant and/or the Releasees. Class Counsel also 19 represents that Class Counsel has not used any information obtained from the Settlement to 20 solicit or assist any other persons or attorneys to commence a claim or proceeding against 21 Defendant.

22 8.7. This Agreement upon its full execution constitutes the entire settlement agreement between the Parties hereto and no representations, warranties, or inducements have been made to 23 24 any Party concerning the Stipulation or its exhibits other than the representations, warranties, and 25 covenants contained and memorialized in such documents. Except as otherwise provided herein, each Party shall bear his or its own costs. Defendant's complete obligations are detailed herein, 26 27 and the Parties agree and understand that there shall be no injunctive relief included as part of 28 any term of the Settlement.

23

1 8.8. This Stipulation may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing 2 signed by the Parties hereto and approved by the Court. This Stipulation may not be discharged 3 except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing used by the Parties hereto.

4 8.9. If any provision of this Stipulation or the application thereof is held invalid, such 5 invalidation shall not affect other provisions or applications of this Stipulation (except as 6 otherwise expressly provided herein) and to this end the provisions of this Stipulation are 7 declared to be severable (except as otherwise provided).

8 8.10. This Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties 9 hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

10 8.11. Because the members of the Class are so numerous, the Parties agree that it is impossible or impractical to have each Class Member sign this Stipulation. It is agreed that, for 11 12 purposes of seeking approval of this class action Settlement, this Stipulation may be executed on behalf of Class Members by Class Counsel. 13

14 8.12. This Stipulation shall become binding upon its execution by all of the 15 undersigned, subject to Court approval as provided herein. The Parties may execute this Stipulation in counterparts, and execution of counterparts shall have the same force and effect as 16 17 if all Parties had signed the same instrument.

18 8.13. The rights and obligations of the Parties hereunder shall be construed and 19 enforced in accordance with, and shall be governed by, the laws of the State of California, 20 without regard to principles of conflict of laws.

8.14. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the implementation and 21 enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 22 23 § 664.6 and the California Rules of Court, and all Parties hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the 24 Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the Settlement embodied in the Stipulation. 25 Any action to enforce this Stipulation shall be commenced and maintained only in the Court. To 26 the extent any Party seeks to enforce the terms of this Settlement or this Stipulation in Court, the 27 prevailing party to any such action shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and 28 costs associated with any such enforcement action.

sus 119 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1

8.15. Paragraph titles or captions contained in the Stipulation are inserted as a matter of
 convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this
 Stipulation, or any provision thereof.

8.16. This Stipulation shall be construed and interpreted as if all of its language were
prepared jointly by the Parties. No language in this Stipulation shall be construed against a Party
on the ground that such Party drafted or proposed that language.

7 8.17. Each attorney signing below represents that he or she has been authorized to 8 execute this Stipulation on behalf of the attorney's respective client(s). Class Counsel, on behalf 9 of the Settlement Class, represents that, after consultation with and approval by Class Representative, Class Counsel is expressly authorized by Class Representative to take all 10 11 appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by the Settlement Class pursuant to the 12 Stipulation to effect its terms, and also is expressly authorized to enter into any modifications or 13 amendments to the Stipulation on behalf of the Settlement Class, which Class Counsel deems 14 appropriate. Similarly, Defendant's counsel represents that it is expressly authorized to take all appropriate action required or permitted to be taken by Defendant pursuant to the Stipulation to 15 16 effect its terms, and also is expressly authorized to enter into any modifications or amendments to the Stipulation on behalf of Defendant which it deems appropriate. 17

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement is executed
by the Parties and their duly authorized attorneys, as of the day and year herein set forth.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21 DATED: June 15, 2019
22
23
24 DATED: June 17, 2019
25
26

20

27

28

MARIA MORONES Maria Morones, Plaintiff ordne

DIVERSITY LAW GROUP, P.C. Βv Larry W. Lee

Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Morones and the Proposed Class

25

DATED: June 1 2019 1 POLARIS LAW, GROUP LLP Mardn 2 By William M. Marder 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Morones and the **Proposed Class** 4 DATED: June 14, 2019 5 By 6 resident 7 Its For Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. 8 DATED: June <u>14</u>, 2019 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 9 By 10 John S. Battenfeld Ashley A. Baltazar 11 Attorneys for Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, 12 Inc. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 26 JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT DB2/ 36756989.1